arXiv:1409.3732v1 [math.CA] 12 Sep 2014

ON DISCONTINUOUS DIRAC OPERATOR WITH EIGENPARAMETER DEPENDENT BOUNDARY AND TWO TRANSMISSION CONDITIONS

YALÇIN GÜLDÜ

Abstract. In this paper, we consider a discontinuous Dirac operator with eigenparameter dependent both boundary and two transmission conditions. We introduce a suitable Hilbert space formulation and get some properties of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. Then, we investigate Green's function, resolvent operator and some uniqueness theorems by using Weyl function and some spectral data.

1. Introduction

Inverse problems of spectral analysis recover operators by their spectral data. Fundamental and vast studies about the classical Sturm-Liouville, Dirac operators, Schrödinger equation and hyperbolic equations are well studied (see [1-7] and references therein).

Studies where eigenvalue dependent appears not only in the differential equation but also in the boundary conditions have increased in recent years (see [8-16] and corresponding bibliography cited therein). Moreover, boundary conditions which depend linearly and nonlinearly on the spectral parameter are considered in [8,16-20] and [21-27] respectively Furthermore, boundary value problems with transmission conditions are also increasingly studied. These types of studies introduce qualitative changes in the exploration. Direct and inverse problems for Sturm-Liouville and Dirac operators with transmission conditions are investigated in some papers (see [7, 28–31] and references therein). Then, differential equations with the spectral parameter and transmission conditions arise in heat, mechanics, mass transfer problems, in diffraction problems and in various physical transfer problems (see [18, 28, 32-39] and corresponding bibliography).

More recently, some boundary value problems with eigenparameter in boundary and transmission conditions are spread out to the case of two, more than two or a finite number of transmission in [40-44] and references therein.

The presented paper deals with the discontinuous Dirac operator with eigenparameter dependent both boundary and two transmission conditions. The aim of the present paper is to obtain the asymptotic formulae of eigenvalues, eigenfunctions, to construct Green's function, resolvent operator and to prove some uniqueness theorems. Especially, some parameters of the considered problem can be determined by Weyl function and some spectral data.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34A55, Secondary 34B24, 34L05.

Key words and phrases. Dirac operator, Eigenvalues, Eigenfunctions, Transmission Conditions, Green's Function, Weyl Function.

We consider a discontinuous boundary value problem L with function $\rho(x)$;

$$ly := \rho(x)By'(x) + \Omega(x)y(x) = \lambda y(x), \quad x \in [a,\xi_1) \cup (\xi_1,\xi_2) \cup (\xi_2,b] = \Lambda \tag{1}$$

where $\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_1^{-1},\ a \le x < \xi_1 \\ \rho_2^{-1},\ \xi_1 < x < \xi_2 \\ \rho_3^{-1},\ \xi_2 < x \le b \end{cases}$ and ρ_1, ρ_2, and ρ_3 are given positive real numbers; $\Omega(x) = \begin{pmatrix} p(x) & q(x) \\ q(x) & r(x) \end{pmatrix}, p(x), q(x), r(x) \in L_2[\Lambda,\mathbb{R}]$; $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $y(x) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(x) \\ y_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a complex spectral parameter; boundary conditions at the endpoints

$$l_1 y := \lambda(\alpha_1' y_1(a) - \alpha_2' y_2(a)) - (\alpha_1 y_1(a) - \alpha_2 y_2(a)) = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$l_2 y := \lambda(\gamma_1' y_1(b) - \gamma_2' y_2(b)) + (\gamma_1 y_1(b) - \gamma_2 y_2(b)) = 0 \tag{3}$$

with transmission conditions at two points $x = \xi_1$, $x = \xi_2$

$$l_3 y := y_1(\xi_1 + 0) - \alpha_3 y_1(\xi_1 - 0) = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$l_4 y := y_2(\xi_1 + 0) - (\alpha_4 + \lambda) y_1(\xi_1 - 0) - \alpha_3^{-1} y_2(\xi_1 - 0) = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$l_5 y := y_1(\xi_2 + 0) - \alpha_5 y_1(\xi_2 - 0) = 0 \tag{6}$$

$$l_6 y := y_2(\xi_2 + 0) - (\alpha_6 + \lambda) y_1(\xi_2 - 0) - \alpha_5^{-1} y_2(\xi_2 - 0) = 0 \tag{7}$$

where α_i, and α_j', γ_j' $(i = \overline{1,6},\ j = 1,2)$ are real numbers $\alpha_3 > 0$, $\alpha_5 > 0$ and $d_1 = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_1' \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_2' \end{vmatrix} > 0$, $d_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \gamma_1 & \gamma_1' \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_2' \end{vmatrix} > 0$.

2. **Operator Formulation and Properties of Spectrum**

In this section, we present the inner product in the Hilbert Space $H := L_2(\Lambda) \oplus L_2(\Lambda) \oplus \mathbb{C}^4$and operator T defined on H such that (1)-(7) can be regarded as the eigenvalue problem of operator T. We define an inner product in H by

$$\begin{aligned} < F, G > := \rho^{-1}(x) \int_a^b (f_1(x)\overline{g}_1(x) + f_2(x)\overline{g}_2(x))\,dx + \alpha_3 f_1(\xi_1 - 0)\overline{g}_1(\xi_1 - 0) \\ + \alpha_5 f_1(\xi_2 - 0)\overline{g}_1(\xi_2 - 0) + \frac{1}{d_1} r\overline{r_1} + \frac{1}{d_2} s\overline{s_1} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} f(x) \\ r \\ s \\ f_1(\xi_1 - 0) \\ f_1(\xi_2 - 0) \end{pmatrix} \in H,\ G = \begin{pmatrix} g(x) \\ r_1 \\ s_1 \\ g_1(\xi_1 - 0) \\ g_1(\xi_2 - 0) \end{pmatrix} \in H,\ f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) \\ f_2(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(x) \\ g_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$, $r = \alpha_1' f_1(a) - \alpha_2' f_2(a)$, $s = \gamma_1' f_1(b) - \gamma_2' f_2(b)$,
$r_1 = \alpha_1' g_1(a) - \alpha_2' g_2(a)$, $s_1 = \gamma_1' g_1(b) - \gamma_2' g_2(b)$

Consider the operator T defined by the domain

$D(T)=\{F\in H: f(x)\in AC([a,\xi_1)\cup(\xi_1,\xi_2)\cup(\xi_2,b]), lf\in L_2(\Lambda)\oplus L_2(\Lambda), l_3f=l_5f=0\}$ such that

$TF:=(lf,\alpha_1f_1(a)-\alpha_2f_2(a),-(\gamma_1f_1(b)-\gamma_2f_2(b)),$

$f_2(\xi_1+0)-\alpha_4f_1(\xi_1-0)-\alpha_3^{-1}f_2(\xi_1-0), f_2(\xi_2+0)-\alpha_6f_1(\xi_2-0)-\alpha_5^{-1}f_2(\xi_2-0))^T$

for

$F=(f,\alpha_1'f_1(a)-\alpha_2'f_2(a),\gamma_1'f_1(b)-\gamma_2'f_2(b),f_1(\xi_1-0),f_1(\xi_2-0))^T\in D(T).$

Thus, we can rewrite the considered problem (1)-(7) in the operator form as $TF=\lambda F$, i.e., the problem (1)-(7) can be considered as an eigenvalue problem of operator T.

We define the solutions

$$\varphi(x,\lambda)=\begin{cases}\varphi_1(x,\lambda), & x\in[a,\xi_1)\\ \varphi_2(x,\lambda), & x\in(\xi_1,\xi_2)\\ \varphi_3(x,\lambda), & x\in(\xi_2,b]\end{cases},\quad \psi(x,\lambda)=\begin{cases}\psi_1(x,\lambda), & x\in[a,\xi_1)\\ \psi_2(x,\lambda), & x\in(\xi_1,\xi_2)\\ \psi_3(x,\lambda), & x\in(\xi_2,b]\end{cases}$$

$\varphi_1(x,\lambda)=(\varphi_{11}(x,\lambda),\varphi_{12}(x,\lambda))^T$, $\varphi_2(x,\lambda)=(\varphi_{21}(x,\lambda),\varphi_{22}(x,\lambda))^T$,

$\varphi_3(x,\lambda)=(\varphi_{31}(x,\lambda),\varphi_{32}(x,\lambda))^T$

and

$\psi_1(x,\lambda)=(\psi_{11}(x,\lambda),\psi_{12}(x,\lambda))^T$, $\psi_2(x,\lambda)=(\psi_{21}(x,\lambda),\psi_{22}(x,\lambda))^T$,

$\psi_3(x,\lambda)=(\psi_{31}(x,\lambda),\psi_{32}(x,\lambda))^T$

of equation (1) by the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned}(9)\quad &\varphi_{11}(a,\lambda)=\lambda\alpha_2'-\alpha_2,\ \varphi_{12}(a,\lambda)=\lambda\alpha_1'-\alpha_1\\ &\varphi_{21}(\xi_1,\lambda)=\alpha_3\varphi_{11}(\xi_1,\lambda),\ \varphi_{22}(\xi_1,\lambda)=(\alpha_4+\lambda)\varphi_{11}(\xi_1,\lambda)+\alpha_3^{-1}\varphi_{12}(\xi_1,\lambda)\\ &\varphi_{31}(\xi_2,\lambda)=\alpha_5\varphi_{21}(\xi_2,\lambda),\ \varphi_{32}(\xi_2,\lambda)=(\alpha_6+\lambda)\varphi_{21}(\xi_2,\lambda)+\alpha_5^{-1}\varphi_{22}(\xi_2,\lambda)\end{aligned}$$

and similarly;

$$\begin{aligned}(10)\quad &\psi_{31}(b,\lambda)=\lambda\gamma_2'+\gamma_2,\ \psi_{32}(b,\lambda)=\lambda\gamma_1'+\gamma_1\\ &\psi_{21}(\xi_2,\lambda)=\frac{\psi_{31}(\xi_2,\lambda)}{\alpha_5},\ \psi_{22}(\xi_2,\lambda)=\alpha_5\psi_{32}(\xi_2,\lambda)-(\alpha_6+\lambda)\psi_{31}(\xi_2,\lambda)\\ &\psi_{11}(\xi_2,\lambda)=\frac{\psi_{21}(\xi_1,\lambda)}{\alpha_3},\ \psi_{12}(\xi_2,\lambda)=\alpha_3\psi_{22}(\xi_1,\lambda)-(\alpha_4+\lambda)\psi_{21}(\xi_1,\lambda)\end{aligned}$$

respectively.

These solutions are entire functions of λ for each fixed $x\in[a,b]$ and satisfy the relation

$\psi(x,\lambda_n)=\kappa_n\varphi(x,\lambda_n)$ for each eigenvalue λ_n where

$\kappa_n=\dfrac{\alpha_1'\psi_{11}(a,\lambda_n)-\alpha_2'\psi_{12}(a,\lambda_n)}{d_1}.$

Lemma 1 T is a self-adjoint operator. Therefore, all eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the problem (1)-(7) are real and two eigenfunctions $\varphi(x,\lambda_1)=(\varphi_1(x,\lambda_1),\varphi_2(x,\lambda_1))^T$ and

$\varphi(x,\lambda_2)=(\varphi_1(x,\lambda_2),\varphi_2(x,\lambda_2))^T$ corresponding to different eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 are orthogonal in the sense of

$$\rho^{-1}(x)\int_a^b[\varphi_1(x,\lambda_1)\varphi_1(x,\lambda_2)+\varphi_2(x,\lambda_1)\varphi_2(x,\lambda_2)]\,dx$$

$$+\alpha_3\varphi_1(\xi_1-0,\lambda_1)\varphi_1(\xi_1-0,\lambda_2)+\alpha_5\varphi_1(\xi_2-0,\lambda_1)\varphi_1(\xi_2-0,\lambda_2)$$

$$+\frac{1}{d_1}\left(\alpha_1'\varphi_{11}(a,\lambda_1)-\alpha_2'\varphi_{12}(a,\lambda_1)\right)\left(\alpha_1'\varphi_{11}(a,\lambda_2)-\alpha_2'\varphi_{12}(a,\lambda_2)\right)$$
$$+\frac{1}{d_2}\left(\gamma_1'\varphi_{31}(b,\lambda_1)-\gamma_2'\varphi_{32}(b,\lambda_1)\right)\left(\gamma_1'\varphi_{31}(b,\lambda_2)-\gamma_2'\varphi_{32}(b,\lambda_2)\right)=0.$$

Lemma 2 The following integral equations and asymptotic behaviours hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_{11}(x,\lambda)=-\left(\lambda\alpha_1'-\alpha_1\right)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-a)+\left(\lambda\alpha_2'-\alpha_2\right)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-a)\\
&+\int_a^x\left[p\left(t\right)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-t)+q(t)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-t)\right]\rho_1\varphi_{11}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&+\int_a^x\left[q\left(t\right)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-t)+r(t)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-t)\right]\rho_1\varphi_{12}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&=-\left(\lambda\alpha_1'-\alpha_1\right)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-a)+\left(\lambda\alpha_2'-\alpha_2\right)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-a)+o(|\lambda|\,e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|(x-a)\rho_1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_{12}(x,\lambda)=\left(\lambda\alpha_1'-\alpha_1\right)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-a)+\left(\lambda\alpha_2'-\alpha_2\right)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-a)\\
&+\int_a^x\left[-p\left(t\right)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-t)+q(t)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-t)\right]\rho_1\varphi_{11}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&+\int_a^x\left[-q\left(t\right)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-t)+r(t)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-t)\right]\rho_1\varphi_{12}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&=\left(\lambda\alpha_1'-\alpha_1\right)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-a)+\left(\lambda\alpha_2'-\alpha_2\right)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-a)+o(|\lambda|\,e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|(x-a)\rho_1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_{21}(x,\lambda)=\alpha_3\varphi_{11}(\xi_1,\lambda)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-\xi_1)\\
&-\left(\left(\alpha_4+\lambda\right)\varphi_{11}(\xi_1,\lambda)+\frac{1}{\alpha_3}\varphi_{12}(\xi_1,\lambda)\right)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-\xi_1)\\
&+\int_{\xi_1}^x\left[p\left(t\right)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-t)+q(t)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-t)\right]\rho_2\varphi_{21}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&+\int_{\xi_1}^x\left[q\left(t\right)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-t)+r(t)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-t)\right]\rho_2\varphi_{22}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&=\left(\alpha_4+\lambda\right)\left[\left(\lambda\alpha_1'-\alpha_1\right)\sin\lambda\rho_1\left(\xi_1-a\right)\sin\lambda\rho_2\left(x-\xi_1\right)\right.\\
&\left.-\left(\lambda\alpha_2'-\alpha_2\right)\cos\lambda\rho_1\left(\xi_1-a\right)\sin\lambda\rho_2\left(x-\xi_1\right)\right]+o(|\lambda|^2\,e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|((\xi_1-a)\rho_1+(x-\xi_1)\rho_2)})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_{22}(x,\lambda)=\alpha_3\varphi_{11}(\xi_1,\lambda)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-\xi_1)\\
&+\left(\left(\alpha_4+\lambda\right)\varphi_{11}(\xi_1,\lambda)+\frac{1}{\alpha_3}\varphi_{12}(\xi_1,\lambda)\right)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-\xi_1)\\
&+\int_{\xi_1}^x\left[-p\left(t\right)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-t)+q(t)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-t)\right]\rho_2\varphi_{21}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&+\int_{\xi_1}^x\left[-q\left(t\right)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-t)+r(t)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-t)\right]\rho_2\varphi_{22}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&=-\left(\alpha_4+\lambda\right)\left[\left(\lambda\alpha_1'-\alpha_1\right)\sin\lambda\rho_1\left(\xi_1-a\right)\cos\lambda\rho_2\left(x-\xi_1\right)\right.\\
&\left.-\left(\lambda\alpha_2'-\alpha_2\right)\cos\lambda\rho_1\left(\xi_1-a\right)\cos\lambda\rho_2\left(x-\xi_1\right)\right]+o(|\lambda|^2\,e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|((\xi_1-a)\rho_1+(x-\xi_1)\rho_2)})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\varphi_{31}(x,\lambda)=\alpha_5\varphi_{21}(\xi_2,\lambda)\cos\lambda\rho_3\left(x-\xi_2\right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_5}\varphi_{22}(\xi_2,\lambda)+(\alpha_6+\lambda)\,\varphi_{21}(\xi_2,\lambda)\right)\sin\lambda\rho_3\,(x-\xi_2)\\
&+\int_{\xi_2}^{x}\left[p\,(t)\sin\lambda\rho_3(x-t)+q(t)\cos\lambda\rho_3(x-t)\right]\rho_3\varphi_{31}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&+\int_{\xi_2}^{x}\left[q\,(t)\sin\lambda\rho_3(x-t)+r(t)\cos\lambda\rho_3(x-t)\right]\rho_3\varphi_{32}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&=(\alpha_4+\lambda)\,(\alpha_6+\lambda)\left[-\left(\lambda\alpha_1'-\alpha_1\right)\sin\lambda\rho_1\,(\xi_1-a)\sin\lambda\rho_2\,(\xi_2-\xi_1)\right.\\
&\left.+\left(\lambda\alpha_2'-\alpha_2\right)\cos\lambda\rho_1\,(\xi_1-a)\sin\lambda\rho_2\,(\xi_2-\xi_1)\right]\sin\lambda\rho_3\,(x-\xi_2)\\
&+o(|\lambda|^3\,e^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|((\xi_1-a)\rho_1+(\xi_2-\xi_1)\rho_2+(x-\xi_2)\rho_3)})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_{32}(x,\lambda)=\alpha_5\varphi_{21}(\xi_2,\lambda)\sin\lambda\rho_3\,(x-\xi_2)\\
&+\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_5}\varphi_{22}(\xi_2,\lambda)+(\alpha_6+\lambda)\,\varphi_{21}(\xi_2,\lambda)\right)\cos\lambda\rho_3\,(x-\xi_2)\\
&+\int_{\xi_2}^{x}\left[-p\,(t)\cos\lambda\rho_3(x-t)+q(t)\sin\lambda\rho_3(x-t)\right]\rho_3\varphi_{31}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&+\int_{\xi_2}^{x}\left[-q\,(t)\cos\lambda\rho_3(x-t)+r(t)\sin\lambda\rho_3(x-t)\right]\rho_3\varphi_{32}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&=-(\alpha_4+\lambda)\,(\alpha_6+\lambda)\left[-\left(\lambda\alpha_1'-\alpha_1\right)\sin\lambda\rho_1\,(\xi_1-a)\sin\lambda\rho_2\,(\xi_2-\xi_1)\right.\\
&\left.+\left(\lambda\alpha_2'-\alpha_2\right)\cos\lambda\rho_1\,(\xi_1-a)\sin\lambda\rho_2\,(\xi_2-\xi_1)\right]\cos\lambda\rho_3\,(x-\xi_2)\\
&+o(|\lambda|^3\,e^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|((\xi_1-a)\rho_1+(\xi_2-\xi_1)\rho_2+(x-\xi_2)\rho_3)})
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3 The following integral equations and asymptotic behaviours hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\psi_{31}(x,\lambda)=(\lambda\gamma_2'+\gamma_2)\cos\lambda\rho_3(x-b)-(\lambda\gamma_1'+\gamma_1)\sin\lambda\rho_3(x-b)\\
&-\int_{x}^{b}\left[p(t)\sin\lambda\rho_3(x-t)+q(t)\cos\lambda\rho_3(x-t)\right]\rho_3\psi_{31}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&-\int_{x}^{b}\left[q(t)\sin\lambda\rho_3(x-t)+r(t)\cos\lambda\rho_3(x-t)\right]\rho_3\psi_{32}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&=(\lambda\gamma_2'+\gamma_2)\cos\lambda\rho_3(x-b)-(\lambda\gamma_1'+\gamma_1)\sin\lambda\rho_3(x-b)+o(|\lambda|\,e^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|(b-x)\rho_3})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\psi_{32}(x,\lambda)=(\lambda\gamma_2'+\gamma_2)\sin\lambda\rho_3(x-b)+(\lambda\gamma_1'+\gamma_1)\cos\lambda\rho_3(x-b)\\
&+\int_{x}^{b}\left[p(t)\cos\lambda\rho_3(x-t)-q(t)\sin\lambda\rho_3(x-t)\right]\rho_3\psi_{31}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&+\int_{x}^{b}\left[q(t)\cos\lambda\rho_3(x-t)-r(t)\sin\lambda\rho_3(x-t)\right]\rho_3\psi_{32}(t,\lambda)dt\\
&=(\lambda\gamma_2'+\gamma_2)\sin\lambda\rho_3(x-b)+(\lambda\gamma_1'+\gamma_1)\cos\lambda\rho_3(x-b)+o(|\lambda|\,e^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|(b-x)\rho_3})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\psi_{21}(x,\lambda)=\left[(\alpha_6+\lambda)\,\psi_{31}(\xi_2,\lambda)-\alpha_5\psi_{32}(\xi_2,\lambda)\right]\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-\xi_2)
$$

$$+\frac{1}{\alpha_5}\psi_{31}(\xi_2,\lambda)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-\xi_2)-\int_x^{\xi_2}\left[p(t)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-t)+q(t)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-t)\right]\rho_2\psi_{21}(t,\lambda)dt$$

$$-\int_x^{\xi_2}\left[q(t)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-t)+r(t)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-t)\right]\rho_2\psi_{22}(t,\lambda)dt$$

$$=(\alpha_6+\lambda)\left[(\lambda\gamma_2'+\gamma_2)\cos\lambda\rho_3(\xi_2-b)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-\xi_2)\right.$$

$$\left.-(\lambda\gamma_1'+\gamma_1)\sin\lambda\rho_3(\xi_2-b)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-\xi_2)\right]+o(|\lambda|^2e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|((b-\xi_2)\rho_3+(\xi_2-x)\rho_2)})$$

$$\psi_{22}(x,\lambda)=\left[-(\alpha_6+\lambda)\psi_{31}(\xi_2,\lambda)+\alpha_5\psi_{32}(\xi_2,\lambda)\right]\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-\xi_2)$$

$$+\frac{1}{\alpha_5}\psi_{31}(\xi_2,\lambda)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-\xi_2)+\int_x^{\xi_2}\left[p(t)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-t)-q(t)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-t)\right]\rho_2\psi_{21}(t,\lambda)dt$$

$$+\int_x^{\xi_2}\left[q(t)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-t)-r(t)\sin\lambda\rho_2(x-t)\right]\rho_2\psi_{22}(t,\lambda)dt$$

$$=-(\alpha_6+\lambda)\left[(\lambda\gamma_2'+\gamma_2)\cos\lambda\rho_3(\xi_2-b)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-\xi_2)\right.$$

$$\left.-(\lambda\gamma_1'+\gamma_1)\sin\lambda\rho_3(\xi_2-b)\cos\lambda\rho_2(x-\xi_2)\right]+o(|\lambda|^2e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|((b-\xi_2)\rho_3+(\xi_2-x)\rho_2)})$$

$$\psi_{11}(x,\lambda)=(\alpha_3\psi_{22}(\xi_1,\lambda)-(\alpha_4+\lambda)\psi_{21}(\xi_1,\lambda))\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-\xi_1)$$

$$-\frac{1}{\alpha_3}\psi_{21}(\xi_1,\lambda)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-\xi_1)-\int_x^{\xi_1}\left[p(t)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-t)+q(t)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-t)\right]\rho_2\psi_{11}(t,\lambda)dt$$

$$-\int_x^{\xi_1}\left[q(t)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-t)+r(t)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-t)\right]\rho_2\psi_{12}(t,\lambda)dt$$

$$=-(\alpha_4+\lambda)(\alpha_6+\lambda)\left[(\lambda\gamma_2'+\gamma_2)\cos\lambda\rho_3(\xi_2-b)\right.$$

$$\left.-(\lambda\gamma_1'+\gamma_1)\sin\lambda\rho_3(\xi_2-b)\right]\sin\lambda\rho_2(\xi_1-\xi_2)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-\xi_1)$$

$$+o(|\lambda|^3e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|((b-\xi_2)\rho_3+(\xi_2-\xi_1)\rho_2+(\xi_1-x)\rho_1)})$$

$$\psi_{12}(x,\lambda)=((\alpha_4+\lambda)\psi_{21}(\xi_1,\lambda)-\alpha_3\psi_{22}(\xi_1,\lambda))\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-\xi_1)$$

$$-\frac{1}{\alpha_3}\psi_{21}(\xi_1,\lambda)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-\xi_1)+\int_x^{\xi_1}\left[p(t)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-t)-q(t)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-t)\right]\rho_1\psi_{11}(t,\lambda)dt$$

$$+\int_x^{\xi_1}\left[q(t)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-t)-r(t)\sin\lambda\rho_1(x-t)\right]\rho_1\psi_{12}(t,\lambda)dt$$

$$=(\alpha_4+\lambda)(\alpha_6+\lambda)\left[(\lambda\gamma_2'+\gamma_2)\cos\lambda\rho_3(\xi_2-b)\right.$$

$$\left.-(\lambda\gamma_1'+\gamma_1)\sin\lambda\rho_3(\xi_2-b)\right]\sin\lambda\rho_2(\xi_1-\xi_2)\cos\lambda\rho_1(x-\xi_1)$$

$$+o(|\lambda|^3e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|((b-\xi_2)\rho_3+(\xi_2-\xi_1)\rho_2+(\xi_1-x)\rho_1)})$$

Denote

$\Delta_i(\lambda):=W(\varphi_i,\psi_i,x):=\varphi_{i1}\psi_{i2}-\varphi_{i2}\psi_{i1}$, $x\in\Lambda_i\left(i=\overline{1,3}\right)$ which are independent of $x\in\Lambda_i$ and are entire functions such that $\Lambda_1=[a,\xi_1)$, $\Lambda_2=(\xi_1,\xi_2)$, $\Lambda_3=(\xi_2,b]$.

Let

$$\Delta_3(\lambda)=\Delta(\lambda)=W(\varphi,\psi,b)=(\lambda\gamma_1'+\gamma_1)\varphi_{31}(b,\lambda)-(\lambda\gamma_2'+\gamma_2)\varphi_{32}(b,\lambda) \tag{11}$$

and

$$\mu_n := \rho^{-1}(x)\int_a^b \left[\varphi_1^2(x,\lambda_n)+\varphi_2^2(x,\lambda_n)\right]dx$$

$$+\alpha_3\varphi_1^2(\xi_1-0,\lambda_n)+\alpha_5\varphi_1^2(\xi_2-0,\lambda_n)+\frac{1}{d_1}\left(\alpha_1'\varphi_{11}(a,\lambda_n)-\alpha_2'\varphi_{12}(a,\lambda_n)\right)^2 \tag{12}$$

$$+\frac{1}{d_2}\left(\gamma_1'\varphi_{31}(b,\lambda_n)-\gamma_2'\varphi_{32}(b,\lambda_n)\right)^2.$$

The function $\Delta(\lambda)$ is called the characteristic function and numbers $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are called the normalizing constants of the problem (1)-(7).

Lemma 4 The following equality holds for each eigenvalue λ_n

$$\dot{\Delta}(\lambda_n) = -\kappa_n\mu_n.$$

Proof Since

$\rho(x)\varphi_2'(x,\lambda_n)+p(x)\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n)+q(x)\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n)=\lambda_n\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n),$

$\rho(x)\psi_2'(x,\lambda)+p(x)\psi_1(x,\lambda)+q(x)\psi_2(x,\lambda)=\lambda\psi_1(x,\lambda),$

and

$-\rho(x)\varphi_1'(x,\lambda_n)+q(x)\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n)+r(x)\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n)=\lambda_n\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n),$

$-\rho(x)\psi_1'(x,\lambda)+q(x)\psi_1(x,\lambda)+r(x)\psi_2(x,\lambda)=\lambda\psi_2(x,\lambda),$

we obtain

$$\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n)\psi_2(x,\lambda)-\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n)\psi_1(x,\lambda)\left(\left|{}_a^{\xi_1}+\left|{}_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2}+\left|{}_{\xi_2}^{b}\right.\right.\right.\right)$$

$$=(\lambda-\lambda_n)\rho_1\int_a^{\xi_1}\left[\psi_1(x,\lambda)\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n)+\psi_2(x,\lambda)\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n)\right]dx$$

$$+(\lambda-\lambda_n)\rho_2\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2}\left[\psi_1(x,\lambda)\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n)+\psi_2(x,\lambda)\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n)\right]dx$$

$$+(\lambda-\lambda_n)\rho_3\int_{\xi_2}^{b}\left[\psi_1(x,\lambda)\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n)+\psi_2(x,\lambda)\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n)\right]dx.$$

After that adding and subtracting $\Delta(\lambda)$ on the left-hand side of the last equality and by using the conditions (2)-(7); to get

$$\Delta(\lambda)-(\lambda-\lambda_n)\left(\alpha_2'\psi_2(a,\lambda)-\alpha_1'\psi_1(a,\lambda)\right)+(\lambda-\lambda_n)\left(\gamma_2'\varphi_2(b,\lambda_n)-\gamma_1'\varphi_1(b,\lambda_n)\right)$$

$$+\alpha_3(\lambda-\lambda_n)\varphi_1(\xi_1-0,\lambda_n)\psi_1(\xi_1-0,\lambda)+\alpha_5(\lambda-\lambda_n)\varphi_1(\xi_2-0,\lambda_n)\psi_1(\xi_2-0,\lambda)$$

$$=(\lambda-\lambda_n)\rho_1\int_a^{\xi_1}\left[\psi_1(x,\lambda)\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n)+\psi_2(x,\lambda)\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n)\right]dx$$

$$+(\lambda-\lambda_n)\rho_2\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2}\left[\psi_1(x,\lambda)\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n)+\psi_2(x,\lambda)\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n)\right]dx$$

$$+(\lambda-\lambda_n)\rho_3\int_{\delta_2}^{b}\left[\psi_1(x,\lambda)\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n)+\psi_2(x,\lambda)\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n)\right]dx,$$

or

$$\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{\lambda-\lambda_n}=\rho_1\int_a^{\xi_1}\left[\psi_1(x,\lambda)\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n)+\psi_2(x,\lambda)\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n)\right]dx$$

$$+\rho_2\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2}\left[\psi_1(x,\lambda)\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n)+\psi_2(x,\lambda)\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n)\right]dx+\rho_3\left[\psi_1(x,\lambda)\varphi_1(x,\lambda_n)+\psi_2(x,\lambda)\varphi_2(x,\lambda_n)\right]dx$$

$$+\frac{\left(\alpha_1'\psi_1(a,\lambda)-\alpha_2'\psi_2(a,\lambda)\right)\left(\alpha_1'\varphi_1(a,\lambda_n)-\alpha_2'\varphi_2(a,\lambda_n)\right)}{d_1}$$

$$+\frac{\left(\gamma_2'\psi_2(b,\lambda)-\gamma_1'\psi_1(b,\lambda)\right)\left(\gamma_2'\varphi_2(b,\lambda_n)-\gamma_1'\varphi_1(b,\lambda_n)\right)}{d_2}+\alpha_3\varphi_1(\xi_1-0,\lambda_n)\psi_1(\xi_1-0,\lambda)$$

$$+\alpha_5\varphi_1(\xi_2-0,\lambda_n)\psi_1(\xi_2-0,\lambda)$$

For $\lambda\to\lambda_n$, $-\dot{\Delta}(\lambda_n)=\kappa_n\mu_n$ is obtained by using the equality $\psi(x,\lambda_n)=\kappa_n\varphi(x,\lambda_n)$ and (12).

From Lemma 4, we get that $\dot{\Delta}(\lambda_n)\neq 0$. Thus, the eigenvalues of problem L are simple.

Lemma 5 [45] Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^p$ be the set of real numbers satisfying the inequalities $\alpha_0>\alpha_0>\cdots>\alpha_{p-1}>0$ and $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^p$ be the set of complex numbers. If $a_p\neq 0$ then the roots of the equation

$e^{\alpha_0\lambda}+a_1e^{\alpha_1\lambda}+\cdots+a_{p-1}e^{\alpha_0\lambda}+a_p=0$

have the form

$\lambda_n=\dfrac{2\pi ni}{\alpha_0}+\Psi(n)\quad(n=0,\pm1,\cdots)$

where $\Psi(n)$ is a bounded sequence.

Now, from Lemma 2 and (11), we can note that

$\Delta(\lambda)-\Delta_0(\lambda)=o(|\lambda|^4\,e^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|((\xi_1-a)\rho_1+(\xi_2-\xi_1)\rho_2+(b-\xi_2)\rho_3)})$

where

$$\Delta_0(\lambda)=\lambda^4\sin\lambda\rho_2\left(\xi_2-\xi_1\right)\left[\gamma_1'\sin\lambda\rho_3\left(b-\xi_2\right)+\gamma_2'\cos\lambda\rho_3\left(b-\xi_2\right)\right]$$

$$\times\left[\alpha_2'\cos\lambda\rho_1\left(\xi_1-a\right)-\alpha_1'\sin\lambda\rho_1\left(\xi_1-a\right)\right].$$

On the other hand, we can see non-zero roots, namely λ_n^0 of the equation $\Delta_0(\lambda)=0$ are real and simple.

Furthermore, it can be proved by using Lemma 5 that

(13)
$$\lambda_n^0=\frac{n\pi}{(\xi_1-a)\rho_1+(\xi_2-\xi_1)\rho_2+(b-\xi_2)\rho_3}+\Psi_n,\ \sup_n|\Psi_n|<\infty,\ n=0,\mp1,\mp2,\ldots$$

Theorem 2 The eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}$ which are located in positive side of real axis satisfy the following asymtotic behaviour;

$$\lambda_n=\lambda_{n-4}^0+o(1)\ ,\quad n\to\infty \tag{14}$$

Proof Denote

$G_n:=\left\{\lambda:0\le\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda\le\lambda_n^0-\delta,\ \ |\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|\le\lambda_n^0-\delta,\ n=0,1,2,\ldots\right\}\cup\{\lambda:|\lambda|<\delta\}$

where δ is a sufficiently small number. The relations

$|\Delta_0(\lambda)|\ge C\,|\lambda|^4\,e^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|((\xi_1-a)\rho_1+(\xi_2-\xi_1)\rho_2+(b-\xi_2)\rho_3)}$

and

$\Delta(\lambda)-\Delta_0(\lambda)=o(|\lambda|^4\,e^{|\mathrm{Im}\,k|((\xi_1-a)\rho_1+(\xi_2-\xi_1)\rho_2+(b-\xi_2)\rho_3)})$

are valid for $\lambda\in\partial G_n$.

Then, by Rouche's theorem that the number of zeros of $\Delta_0(\lambda)$ coincides with the number of zeros of $\Delta(\lambda)$ in G_n, namely $n+4$ zeros, $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_{n+3}$. In the annulus between G_n and G_{n+1}, $\Delta(\lambda)$ has accurately one zero, namely
$k_n : k_n = \lambda_n^0 + \delta_n$, for $n \geq 1$. So, it follows that $\lambda_{n+4} = k_n$. Applying to Rouche's theorem in $\eta_\varepsilon = \left\{\lambda : \left|\lambda - \lambda_n^0\right| \leq \varepsilon\right\}$ for sufficiently small ε and sufficiently large n, we get $\delta_n = o(1)$. Finally, we obtain the asymptotic formula
$\lambda_n = \lambda_{n-4}^0 + o(1)$.

Example Let $\Omega(x) = 0$, $a = 0$, $b = \pi$, $\xi_1 = \dfrac{\pi}{4}$, $\xi_2 = \dfrac{\pi}{2}$, $\alpha_3 = \gamma_4 = 1$, $\gamma_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$, $\alpha_5 = \gamma_6 = 1$, $\gamma_5 = \alpha_6 = 0$, $\gamma_2' = \gamma_1 = 0$, $\gamma_1' = 1$, $\gamma_2 = -1$, $\alpha_2' = \alpha_1 = 0$, $\alpha_1' = -1, \alpha_2 = 1$.
Since $\Delta(\lambda) = \lambda^4 \sin\lambda\rho_2(\xi_2 - \xi_1)\left[\gamma_1' \sin\lambda\rho_3(b - \xi_2) + \gamma_2' \cos\lambda\rho_3(b - \xi_2)\right]$
$\times \left[\alpha_2' \cos\lambda\rho_1(\xi_1 - a) - \alpha_1' \sin\lambda\rho_1(\xi_1 - a)\right]$
$+o\left(\lambda^4 e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|(\xi_1\rho_1 + (\xi_2 - \xi_1)\rho_2 + (\pi - \xi_2)\rho_3)}\right)$, the eigenvalues of the boundary value problem (1)-(7) satisfy the following asymptotic formulae;

$$\lambda_{n_1} = \frac{4(n-4)}{\rho_1} + o(1),\ \lambda_{n_2} = \frac{4(n-4)}{\rho_2} + o(1),\ \lambda_{n_3} = \frac{2(n-4)}{\rho_3} + o(1).$$

3. **Construction of Green Function**

In this section, we get the resolvent of the boundary-value problem (1)-(7) for λ, not an eigenvalue. Hence, we find the solution of the non-homogeneous differential equation

$$\rho(x)By'(x) + \Omega(x)y(x) = \lambda y(x) + f(x), x \in \Lambda \tag{15}$$

which satisfies the conditions (2)-(7).
We can find the general solution of homogeneous differential equation
$\rho(x)By'(x) + \Omega(x)y(x) = \lambda y(x)$, $x \in \Lambda$
in the form

$$U_1(x,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1\varphi_{11}(x,\lambda) + c_2\chi_{11}(x,\lambda) \\ c_1\varphi_{12}(x,\lambda) + c_2\chi_{12}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix},\ [a, \xi_1)$$

$$U_2(x,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} c_3\varphi_{21}(x,\lambda) + c_4\chi_{21}(x,\lambda) \\ c_3\varphi_{22}(x,\lambda) + c_4\chi_{22}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix},\ (\xi_1, \xi_2)$$

$$U_3(x,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} c_5\varphi_{31}(x,\lambda) + c_6\chi_{31}(x,\lambda) \\ c_5\varphi_{32}(x,\lambda) + c_6\chi_{32}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix},\ (\xi_2, b]$$

where $c_i, i = \overline{1,6}$ are arbitrary constants.By using method of variation of parameters, we shall investigate the general solution of the non-homogeneous linear differential equation (15) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(x,\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} c_1(x,\lambda)\varphi_{11}(x,\lambda) + c_2(x,\lambda)\chi_{11}(x,\lambda) \\ c_1(x,\lambda)\varphi_{12}(x,\lambda) + c_2(x,\lambda)\chi_{12}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix},\ \text{for } x \in [a, \xi_1) \\ U_2(x,\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} c_3(x,\lambda)\varphi_{21}(x,\lambda) + c_4(x,\lambda)\chi_{21}(x,\lambda) \\ c_3(x,\lambda)\varphi_{22}(x,\lambda) + c_4(x,\lambda)\chi_{22}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix},\ \text{for } x \in (\xi_1, \xi_2) \\ U_3(x,\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} c_5(x,\lambda)\varphi_{31}(x,\lambda) + c_6(x,\lambda)\chi_{31}(x,\lambda) \\ c_5(x,\lambda)\varphi_{32}(x,\lambda) + c_6(x,\lambda)\chi_{32}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix},\ \text{for } x \in (\xi_2, b] \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where the functions $c_i(x,\lambda)$ $(i=\overline{1-6})$ satisfy the following linear system of equations

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} c_1'(x,\lambda)\varphi_{11}(x,\lambda)+c_2'(x,\lambda)\chi_{11}(x,\lambda)=f_1(x) \\ c_1'(x,\lambda)\varphi_{12}(x,\lambda)+c_2'(x,\lambda)\chi_{12}(x,\lambda)=f_2(x) \end{array}\right) \text{ for } x\in[a,\xi_1)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} c_3'(x,\lambda)\varphi_{21}(x,\lambda)+c_4'(x,\lambda)\chi_{21}(x,\lambda)=f_1(x) \\ c_3'(x,\lambda)\varphi_{22}(x,\lambda)+c_4'(x,\lambda)\chi_{22}(x,\lambda)=f_2(x) \end{array}\right) \text{ for } x\in(\xi_1,\xi_2)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} c_5'(x,\lambda)\varphi_{31}(x,\lambda)+c_6'(x,\lambda)\chi_{31}(x,\lambda)=f_1(x) \\ c_5'(x,\lambda)\varphi_{32}(x,\lambda)+c_6'(x,\lambda)\chi_{32}(x,\lambda)=f_2(x) \end{array}\right) \text{ for } x\in(\xi_2,b].$$

Since λ is not an eigenvalue, each of the linear system of equations has a unique solution. Thus,

$$\left|\begin{array}{ll} \varphi_{11}(x,\lambda) & \chi_{11}(x,\lambda) \\ \varphi_{12}(x,\lambda) & \chi_{12}(x,\lambda) \end{array}\right|\neq 0,\ \left|\begin{array}{ll} \varphi_{21}(x,\lambda) & \chi_{21}(x,\lambda) \\ \varphi_{22}(x,\lambda) & \chi_{22}(x,\lambda) \end{array}\right|\neq 0,$$

and $\left|\begin{array}{ll} \varphi_{31}(x,\lambda) & \chi_{31}(x,\lambda) \\ \varphi_{32}(x,\lambda) & \chi_{32}(x,\lambda) \end{array}\right|\neq 0.$

It is obvious that

$$c_1(x,\lambda)=\frac{1}{\Delta_1(\lambda)}\int_x^{\xi_1}\left(\chi_{11}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{12}(t,\lambda)f_1(t)\right)dt+c_1,$$

$$c_2(x,\lambda)=\frac{1}{\Delta_1(\lambda)}\int_a^{x}\left(\varphi_{11}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{12}(t,\lambda)f_1(t)\right)dt+c_2,$$

$$c_3(x,\lambda)=\frac{1}{\Delta_2(\lambda)}\int_x^{\xi_2}\left(\chi_{21}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{22}(t,\lambda)f_1(t)\right)dt+c_3,$$

$$c_4(x,\lambda)=\frac{1}{\Delta_2(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_1}^{x}\left(\varphi_{21}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{22}(t,\lambda)f_1(t)\right)dt+c_4,$$

$$c_5(x,\lambda)=\frac{1}{\Delta_3(\lambda)}\int_x^{b}\left(\chi_{31}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{32}(t,\lambda)f_1(t)\right)dt+c_5,$$

$$c_6(x,\lambda)=\frac{1}{\Delta_3(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_2}^{x}\left(\varphi_{31}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{32}(t,\lambda)f_1(t)\right)dt+c_6$$

where $c_i, i=\overline{1,6}$ are arbitrary constants. Substituting these above expressions in (16), then we obtain the general solution of non-homogeneous linear differential

equation (15) in the form;

$$\text{for } x\in[a,\xi_1),U_1(x,\lambda)=\frac{1}{\Delta_1(\lambda)}\int_x^{\xi_1}(\chi_{11}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{12}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\varphi_{11}(x,\lambda)\,dt$$

(17)

$$+\frac{1}{\Delta_1(\lambda)}\int_x^{\xi_1}(\chi_{11}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{12}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\varphi_{12}(x,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{\Delta_1(\lambda)}\int_a^{x}(\varphi_{11}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{12}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\chi_{11}(x,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{\Delta_1(\lambda)}\int_a^{x}(\varphi_{11}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{12}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\chi_{12}(x,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+c_1\varphi_{11}(x,\lambda)+c_2\chi_{11}(x,\lambda)+c_1\varphi_{12}(x,\lambda)+c_2\chi_{12}(x,\lambda)$$

$$\text{for } x\in(\xi_1,\xi_2),U_2(x,\lambda)=\frac{1}{\Delta_2(\lambda)}\int_x^{\xi_2}(\chi_{21}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{22}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\varphi_{21}(x,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{\Delta_2(\lambda)}\int_x^{\xi_2}(\chi_{21}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{22}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\varphi_{22}(x,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{\Delta_2(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_1}^{x}(\varphi_{21}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{22}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\chi_{21}(x,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{\Delta_2(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_1}^{x}(\varphi_{21}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{22}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\chi_{22}(x,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+c_3\varphi_{21}(x,\lambda)+c_4\chi_{21}(x,\lambda)+c_3\varphi_{22}(x,\lambda)+c_4\chi_{22}(x,\lambda)$$

$$\text{for } x\in(\xi_2,b],U_3(x,\lambda)=\frac{1}{\Delta_3(\lambda)}\int_x^{b}(\chi_{31}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{32}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\varphi_{31}(x,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{\Delta_3(\lambda)}\int_x^{b}(\chi_{31}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{32}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\varphi_{32}(x,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{\Delta_3(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_2}^{x}(\varphi_{31}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{32}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\chi_{31}(x,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{\Delta_3(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_2}^{x}(\varphi_{31}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{32}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\chi_{32}(x,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+c_5\varphi_{31}(x,\lambda)+c_6\chi_{31}(x,\lambda)+c_5\varphi_{32}(x,\lambda)+c_6\chi_{32}(x,\lambda)$$

Therefore, we easily get that
$l_1(U_1) = -c_2\Delta_1(\lambda)$, $l_2(U_3) = c_5\Delta_3(\lambda)$. Since $\Delta_1(\lambda) \neq 0$, $\Delta_2(\lambda) \neq 0$ and from boundary conditions (2)-(3), $c_2 = 0$ and $c_5 = 0$.

On the other hand, considering these results and transmission conditions (4)-(7), the following linear equation system according to the variables $c_1, c_3, c_4,$ and c_6 are acquired:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-c_1\varphi_{21}(\xi_1+0)+c_3\varphi_{21}(\xi_1+0)+c_4\chi_{21}(\xi_1+0)\\
&=-\frac{1}{\Delta_2(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2}(\chi_{21}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{22}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\varphi_{21}(\xi_1+0,\lambda)\,dt\\
&+\frac{1}{\Delta_1(\lambda)}\int_{a}^{\xi_1}(\varphi_{11}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{12}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\chi_{21}(\xi_1+0,\lambda)\,dt\\
&-c_1\varphi_{22}(\xi_1+0)+c_3\varphi_{22}(\xi_1+0)+c_4\chi_{22}(\xi_1+0)\\
&=-\frac{1}{\Delta_2(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2}(\chi_{21}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{22}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\varphi_{22}(\xi_1+0,\lambda)\,dt\\
&+\frac{1}{\Delta_1(\lambda)}\int_{a}^{\xi_1}(\varphi_{11}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{12}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\chi_{22}(\xi_1+0,\lambda)\,dt\\
&-c_3\varphi_{31}(\xi_2+0)-c_4\chi_{31}(\xi_2+0)+c_6\chi_{31}(\xi_2+0)\\
&=-\frac{1}{\Delta_3(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_2}^{b}(\chi_{31}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{32}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\varphi_{31}(\xi_2+0,\lambda)\,dt\\
&+\frac{1}{\Delta_2(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2}(\varphi_{21}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{22}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\chi_{31}(\xi_2+0,\lambda)\,dt\\
&-c_3\varphi_{32}(\xi_2+0)-c_4\chi_{32}(\xi_2+0)+c_6\chi_{32}(\xi_2+0)\\
&=-\frac{1}{\Delta_3(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_2}^{b}(\chi_{31}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\chi_{32}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\varphi_{32}(\xi_2+0,\lambda)\,dt\\
&+\frac{1}{\Delta_2(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2}(\varphi_{21}(t,\lambda)f_2(t)-\varphi_{22}(t,\lambda)f_1(t))\chi_{32}(\xi_2+0,\lambda)\,dt
\end{aligned}
\tag{18}
$$

Remembering the definitions of solutions $\varphi_{ij}(x,\lambda)$ and $\chi_{ij}(x,\lambda)$ $(i=2,3, j=1,2)$,the following relation is gotten for the determinant of this linear equation system:

$$
\begin{vmatrix}
-\varphi_{21}(\xi_1+0) & \varphi_{21}(\xi_1+0) & \chi_{21}(\xi_1+0) & 0\\
-\varphi_{22}(\xi_1+0) & \varphi_{22}(\xi_1+0) & \chi_{22}(\xi_1+0) & 0\\
0 & -\varphi_{31}(\xi_2+0) & -\chi_{31}(\xi_2+0) & \chi_{31}(\xi_2+0)\\
0 & -\varphi_{32}(\xi_2+0) & -\chi_{32}(\xi_2+0) & \chi_{32}(\xi_2+0)
\end{vmatrix}
= -\Delta_2(\lambda)\Delta_3(\lambda).
$$

Since above determinant is different from zero, the solution of (18) is unique. When we solve system (18), we obtain the following equality for the coefficients $c_1, c_{3,}c_4$ and c_6 :

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\Delta_2(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2}(\chi_{21}(t,\lambda) f_2(t) - \chi_{22}(t,\lambda) f_1(t))\,dt$$
$$+\frac{1}{\Delta_3(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_2}^{b}(\chi_{31}(t,\lambda) f_2(t) - \chi_{32}(t,\lambda) f_1(t))\,dt$$
$$c_3 = \frac{1}{\Delta_3(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_2}^{b}(\chi_{31}(t,\lambda) f_2(t) - \chi_{32}(t,\lambda) f_1(t))\,dt,$$
$$c_4 = \frac{1}{\Delta_1(\lambda)}\int_{a}^{\xi_1}(\varphi_{11}(t,\lambda) f_2(t) - \varphi_{12}(t,\lambda) f_1(t))\,dt$$
$$c_6 = \frac{1}{\Delta_1(\lambda)}\int_{a}^{\xi_1}(\varphi_{11}(t,\lambda) f_2(t) - \varphi_{12}(t,\lambda) f_1(t))\,dt$$
$$+\frac{1}{\Delta_2(\lambda)}\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2}(\varphi_{21}(t,\lambda) f_2(t) - \varphi_{22}(t,\lambda) f_1(t))\,dt$$

As a result, if we subsitute the coefficients $c_i : (i = 1,3,4,6)$ in (17), then we get the resolvent $U(x,\lambda)$ as follows:

(19)

$$U(x,\lambda) = \frac{\chi(x,\lambda)}{\Delta_i(\lambda)}\int_a^x (f_2\varphi_{i1} - f_1\varphi_{i2})\,dt + \frac{\varphi(x,\lambda)}{\Delta_i(\lambda)}\int_x^b (f_2\chi_{i1} - f_1\chi_{i2})\,dt, \quad i = \overline{1,3}$$

such that

$$\varphi(x,\lambda) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{11}(x,\lambda) \\ \varphi_{12}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, & x \in [a,\xi_1) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{21}(x,\lambda) \\ \varphi_{22}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, & x \in (\xi_1,\xi_2) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{31}(x,\lambda) \\ \varphi_{32}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, & x \in (\xi_2,b] \end{cases}, \quad \chi(x,\lambda) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{11}(x,\lambda) \\ \chi_{12}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, & x \in [a,\xi_1) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{21}(x,\lambda) \\ \chi_{22}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, & x \in (\xi_1,\xi_2) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{31}(x,\lambda) \\ \chi_{32}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, & x \in (\xi_2,b] \end{cases}.$$

We can easily find Green's function from the resolvent (19) as follows:

$$G(x,t;\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\chi(x,\lambda)}{\Delta_i(\lambda)} \begin{pmatrix} -\varphi_{i2}(x,\lambda) \\ \varphi_{i1}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix}^T & a \le t \le x \le b, x \ne \xi_1,\xi_2,\ t \ne \xi_1,\xi_2 \\ \frac{\varphi(x,\lambda)}{\Delta_i(\lambda)} \begin{pmatrix} -\chi_{i2}(x,\lambda) \\ \chi_{i1}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix}^T & a \le t \le x \le b, x \ne \xi_1,\xi_2,\ t \ne \xi_1,\xi_2 \end{cases}$$

We can rewrite the formula (19) in the following form

$$U(x,\lambda) = \int_a^b G(x,t;\lambda) f(t)\,dt \text{ such that } f(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(t) \\ f_2(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we define the resolvent operator

$R(T,\lambda) := (T-\lambda I)^{-1} : H_1 \to H_1$.

It is easy to see that operator equation $(T-\lambda I)Y = F$, $F \in H_1$ is equivalent to boundary value problem (15), (2)-(7) where λ is not an eigenvalue,

$Y = (y_1(x), y_2(x), y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6)^T$ such that $y_3 = \alpha_1' y_1(a) - \alpha_2' y_2(a)$,

$y_4 = \gamma_1' y_1(b) - \gamma_2' y_2(b)$, $y_5 = y_1(\xi_1 - 0)$, $y_6 = y_1(\xi_2 - 0)$ and

$F = (f_1(x), f_2(x), z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6)^T$ where $z_3 = z_4 = z_5 = z_6 = 0$.

4. **Inverse Problems**

In this section,we study the inverse problems for the reconstruction of boundary value problem (1)-(7) by Weyl function and spectral data.

We consider the boundary value problem $\tilde{L}$ which has the same form with L but with different coefficients $\tilde{\Omega}(x)$, $\tilde{\alpha}_j, \tilde{\gamma}_j$, $\tilde{\alpha}_j', \tilde{\gamma}_j'$, $j = 1,2$ such that $\tilde{\Omega}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{p}(x) & q(x) \\ q(x) & \tilde{r}(x) \end{pmatrix}$.

If a certain symbol σ denotes an object related to L, then the symbol $\tilde{\sigma}$ denotes the corresponding object related to $\tilde{L}$.

Let $\Phi(x,\lambda)$ be a solution of equation (1) which satisfies the condition $(\lambda\alpha_2' - \alpha_2)\Phi_2(a,\lambda) - (\lambda\alpha_1' - \alpha_1)\Phi_1(a,\lambda) = 1$ and the transmissions (4)-(7).

Assume that the function $\phi(x,\lambda) = (\phi_1(x,\lambda), \phi_2(x,\lambda))^T$ is the solution of equation (1) that satisfies the conditions $\phi_1(a,\lambda) = d_1^{-1}\alpha_2'$, $\phi_2(a,\lambda) = d_1^{-1}\alpha_1'$ and the transmission conditions (4)-(7).

Since $W[\varphi,\phi] = 1$, the functions ϕ and φ are linearly independent. Therefore, the function $\psi(x,\lambda)$ can be represented by

$$\psi(x,\lambda) = d_1^{-1}\left(\alpha_1'\psi_1(a,\lambda) - \alpha_2'\psi_2(a,\lambda)\right)\varphi(x,\lambda) + \Delta(\lambda)\phi(x,\lambda)$$

or

$$\Phi(x,\lambda) = \frac{\psi(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} = \phi(x,\lambda) + \frac{\alpha_1'\psi_1(a,\lambda) - \alpha_2'\psi_2(a,\lambda)}{d_1\Delta(\lambda)}\varphi(x,\lambda) \tag{20}$$

which is called the Weyl solution and

$$\frac{\alpha_1'\psi_1(a,\lambda) - \alpha_2'\psi_2(a,\lambda)}{d_1\Delta(\lambda)} = M(\lambda) = d_1^{-1}\left(\alpha_1'\Phi_1(a,\lambda) - \alpha_2'\Phi_2(a,\lambda)\right) \tag{21}$$

is called the Weyl function.

Lemma 6 The following representation is true:

$$M(\lambda) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu_n(\lambda_n - \lambda)}.$$

Proof Weyl function $M(\lambda)$ is meromorphic function with respect to λ which has simple poles at λ_n.Therefore,we calculate

$\operatorname*{Res}_{\lambda=\lambda_n} M(\lambda) = \dfrac{\alpha_1'\psi_1(a,\lambda_n) - \alpha_2'\psi_2(a,\lambda_n)}{d_1\dot{\Delta}(\lambda_n)}$. Since $\kappa_n = \dfrac{\alpha_1'\psi_1(a,\lambda_n) - \alpha_2'\psi_2(a,\lambda_n)}{d_1}$ and $\dot{\Delta}(\lambda_n) = -\kappa_n\mu_n$,

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{\lambda=\lambda_n} M(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\mu_n}. \tag{22}$$

Let $\Gamma_n = \{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq |\lambda_n^o| + \varepsilon\}$ where ε is a sufficiently small number. Consider the contour integral $I_n(\lambda) = \dfrac{1}{2\pi i}\displaystyle\int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{M(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda} d\mu$, $\lambda \in int\Gamma_n$.

Since $\Delta(\lambda) \geq C_\delta \lambda^4 e^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|((\xi_1 - a)\rho_1 + (\xi_2 - \xi_1)\rho_2 + (b - \xi_2)\rho_3)}$ and $M(\lambda) = \dfrac{\alpha_1' \psi_1(a,\lambda) - \alpha_2' \psi_2(a,\lambda)}{d_1 \Delta(\lambda)}$, $|M(\lambda)| \leq \dfrac{C_\delta}{|\lambda|}$ for $\lambda \in F_\delta = \{\lambda : |\lambda - \lambda_n| \geq \delta,\ n = 0, \pm 1, \ldots\}$, where δ is a sufficiently small number.
Thus, $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} I_n(\lambda) = 0$. Then, the residue theorem yields

$$M(\lambda) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu_n (\lambda_n - \lambda)}.$$

Theorem 3 If $M(\lambda) = \tilde{M}(\lambda)$, then $L = \tilde{L}$, i.e., $\Omega(x) = \tilde{\Omega}(x)$, a.e. and $\alpha_j = \tilde{\alpha}_j$, $\gamma_j = \tilde{\gamma}_j$, $\alpha_j' = \tilde{\alpha}_j'$, $\gamma_j' = \tilde{\gamma}_j'$, $j = 1, 2$.

Proof We introduce a matrix $P(x,\lambda) = [P_{kj}(x,\lambda)]_{k,j=1,2}$ by the formula

$$P(x,\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_1 & \tilde{\Phi}_1 \\ \tilde{\varphi}_2 & \tilde{\Phi}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 & \Phi_1 \\ \varphi_2 & \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{23}$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{11}(x,\lambda) & P_{12}(x,\lambda) \\ P_{21}(x,\lambda) & P_{22}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1\tilde{\Phi}_2 - \Phi_1\tilde{\varphi}_2 & -\varphi_1\tilde{\Phi}_1 + \Phi_1\tilde{\varphi}_1 \\ \varphi_2\tilde{\Phi}_2 - \tilde{\varphi}_2\Phi_2 & -\varphi_2\tilde{\Phi}_1 + \tilde{\varphi}_1\Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{24}$$

where $\Phi(x,\lambda) = \dfrac{\psi(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}$ and $W\left(\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\varphi}\right) = 1$. Thus, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{11}(x,\lambda) &= \varphi_1(x,\lambda)\frac{\tilde{\psi}_2(x,\lambda)}{\tilde{\Delta}(x,\lambda)} - \frac{\psi_1(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}\tilde{\varphi}_2(x,\lambda) \\
P_{12}(x,\lambda) &= -\varphi_1(x,\lambda)\frac{\tilde{\psi}_1(x,\lambda)}{\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)} + \frac{\psi_1(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}\tilde{\varphi}_1(x,\lambda) \\
P_{21}(x,\lambda) &= \varphi_2(x,\lambda)\frac{\tilde{\psi}_2(x,\lambda)}{\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)} - \frac{\psi_2(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}\tilde{\varphi}_2(x,\lambda) \\
P_{22}(x,\lambda) &= -\varphi_2(x,\lambda)\frac{\tilde{\psi}_1(x,\lambda)}{\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)} + \frac{\psi_2(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}\tilde{\varphi}_1(x,\lambda)
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

On the other hand, from (20), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{11}(x,\lambda) &= \varphi_1(x,\lambda)\tilde{\phi}_2(x,\lambda) - \tilde{\varphi}_2(x,\lambda)\phi_1(x,\lambda) + \left(\tilde{M}(\lambda) - M(\lambda)\right)\varphi_1(x,\lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_2(x,\lambda) \\
P_{12}(x,\lambda) &= -\varphi_1(x,\lambda)\tilde{\phi}_1(x,\lambda) + \tilde{\varphi}_1(x,\lambda)\phi_1(x,\lambda) - \left(\tilde{M}(\lambda) - M(\lambda)\right)\varphi_1(x,\lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_1(x,\lambda) \\
P_{21}(x,\lambda) &= \varphi_2(x,\lambda)\tilde{\phi}_2(x,\lambda) - \tilde{\varphi}_2(x,\lambda)\phi_2(x,\lambda) + \left(\tilde{M}(\lambda) - M(\lambda)\right)\varphi_2(x,\lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_2(x,\lambda) \\
P_{22}(x,\lambda) &= -\varphi_2(x,\lambda)\tilde{\phi}_1(x,\lambda) + \tilde{\varphi}_1(x,\lambda)\phi_2(x,\lambda) - \left(\tilde{M}(\lambda) - M(\lambda)\right)\tilde{\varphi}_1(x,\lambda)\varphi_2(x,\lambda).
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Thus, if $M(\lambda) \equiv \tilde{M}(\lambda)$ then the functions $P_{ij}(x,\lambda)$ $(i,j = 1,2)$ are entire in λ for each fixed x. Moreover, since asymptotic behaviours of $\varphi_i(x,\lambda)$, $\tilde{\varphi}_i(x,\lambda)$, $\psi_i(x,\lambda)$, $\tilde{\psi}_i(x,\lambda)$ and $|\Delta(\lambda)| \geq C_\delta |\lambda|^4 e^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|((\xi_1 - a)\rho_1 + (\xi_2 - \xi_1)\rho_2 + (b - \xi_2)\rho_3)}$ in $F_\delta \cap \tilde{F}_\delta$, we can

easily see that functions $P_{ij}(x,\lambda)$ are bounded with respect to λ. As a result, these functions don't depend on λ.

Here, we denote $\tilde{F}_\delta = \left\{\lambda : \left|\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}_n\right| \geq \delta,\ n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\right\}$ where n is sufficiently small number, $\tilde{\lambda}_n$ are eigenvalues of the problem $\tilde{L}$.

From (25),

$$P_{11}(x,\lambda) - 1 = \frac{\tilde{\psi}_2(x,\lambda)\left(\varphi_1(x,\lambda) - \tilde{\varphi}_1(x,\lambda)\right)}{\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)} - \tilde{\varphi}_2(x,\lambda)\left(\frac{\psi_1(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} - \frac{\tilde{\psi}_1(x,\lambda)}{\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)}\right)$$

$$P_{12}(x,\lambda) = \frac{\psi_1(x,\lambda)\left(\tilde{\varphi}_1(x,\lambda) - \varphi_1(x,\lambda)\right)}{\Delta(\lambda)} + \varphi_1(x,\lambda)\left(\frac{\psi_1(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} - \frac{\tilde{\psi}_1(x,\lambda)}{\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)}\right)$$

$$P_{21}(x,\lambda) = \varphi_2(x,\lambda)\left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}_2(x,\lambda)}{\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)} - \frac{\psi_2(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}\right) + \psi_2(x,\lambda)\left(\frac{\varphi_2(x,\lambda) - \tilde{\varphi}_2(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}\right)$$

$$P_{22}(x,\lambda) - 1 = \frac{\psi_2(x,\lambda)\left(\tilde{\varphi}_1(x,\lambda) - \varphi_1(x,\lambda)\right)}{\Delta(\lambda)} + \varphi_2(x,\lambda)\left(\frac{\psi_1(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} - \frac{\tilde{\psi}_1(x,\lambda)}{\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)}\right)$$

It follows from the representations of solutions $\varphi(x,\lambda)$ and $\psi(x,\lambda)$,

$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{\tilde{\psi}_2(x,\lambda)\left(\varphi_1(x,\lambda) - \tilde{\varphi}_1(x,\lambda)\right)}{\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)} = 0$ and $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \tilde{\varphi}_2(x,\lambda)\left(\frac{\psi_1(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} - \frac{\tilde{\psi}_1(x,\lambda)}{\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)}\right) = 0$ for all x in Λ. Thus, $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\left(P_{11}(x,\lambda) - 1\right) = 0$ is valid uniformly with respect to x. So we have $P_{11}(x,\lambda) \equiv 1$ and similarly $P_{12}(x,\lambda) \equiv 0$, $P_{21}(x,\lambda) \equiv 0$, $P_{22}(x,\lambda) \equiv 1$.

From (23), we obtain $\varphi_1(x,\lambda) \equiv \tilde{\varphi}_1(x,\lambda)$, $\Phi_1 \equiv \tilde{\Phi}_1$, $\varphi_2(x,\lambda) \equiv \tilde{\varphi}_2(x,\lambda)$ and $\Phi_2 \equiv \tilde{\Phi}_2$ for all x and λ. Moreover, from $\Phi(x,\lambda) = \frac{\psi(x,\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}$, we get $\frac{\psi_2(x,\lambda)}{\psi_1(x,\lambda)} = \frac{\tilde{\psi}_2(x,\lambda)}{\tilde{\psi}_1(x,\lambda)}$. Hence, $\Omega(x) = \tilde{\Omega}(x)$, i.e., $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$, $r(x) = \tilde{r}(x)$ almost everywhere. On the other hand, since $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{11}(a,\lambda) \\ \varphi_{12}(a,\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\alpha_2' - \alpha_2 \\ \lambda\alpha_1' - \alpha_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{31}(b,\lambda) \\ \psi_{32}(b,\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\gamma_2' + \gamma_2 \\ \lambda\gamma_1' + \gamma_1 \end{pmatrix}$, we get easily that $\alpha_2' = \tilde{\alpha}_2'$, $\alpha_2 = \tilde{\alpha}_2$, $\alpha_1' = \tilde{\alpha}_1'$, $\alpha_1 = \tilde{\alpha}_1$ and $\gamma_2' = \tilde{\gamma}_2'$, $\gamma_2 = \tilde{\gamma}_2$, $\gamma_1' = \tilde{\gamma}_1'$, $\gamma_1 = \tilde{\gamma}_1$. Therefore, $L \equiv \tilde{L}$.

Theorem 4 If $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$ and $\mu_n = \tilde{\mu}_n$ for all n, then $L \equiv \tilde{L}$, i.e., $\Omega(x) = \tilde{\Omega}(x)$, a.e., $\alpha_j = \tilde{\alpha}_j$, $\gamma_i = \tilde{\gamma}_i$, $\alpha_j' = \tilde{\alpha}_j'$, $\gamma_j' = \tilde{\gamma}_j'$, $j = 1, 2$. Hence, the problem (1)-(7) is uniquely determined by spectral data $\{\lambda_n, \mu_n\}$.

Proof If $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$ and $\mu_n = \tilde{\mu}_n$ for all n, then $M(\lambda) = \tilde{M}(\lambda)$ by Lemma 6. Therefore, we get $L = \tilde{L}$ by Theorem 3.

Let us consider the boundary-value problem L_1 with the condition $\alpha_1' y_1(a,\lambda) - \alpha_2' y_2(a,\lambda) = 0$ instead of the condition (2) in L. Let $\{\tau_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the eigenvalues of the problem L_1. It is clear that τ_n are zeros of $\Delta_1(\tau) := \alpha_1'\psi_1(a,\tau) - \alpha_2'\psi_2(a,\tau)$ which is characteristic function of L_1.

Theorem 5 If $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$ and $\tau_n = \tilde{\tau}_n$ for all n, then $L(\Omega, \gamma_i, \gamma_j') = L(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\gamma}_i, \tilde{\gamma}_j')$, $j = 1, 2$.

Hence, the problem L is uniquely determined by the sequences $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\tau_n\}$ except coefficients α_j and α_j'.

Proof Since the characteristic functions $\Delta(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_1(\tau)$ are entire of order 1, functions $\Delta(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_1(\tau)$ are uniquely determined up to multiplicative constant with their zeros by Hadamard's factorization theorem [46]

$$\Delta(\lambda) = C \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n}\right),$$

$$\Delta_1(\tau) = C_1 \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{\tau_n}\right),$$

where C and C_1 are constants depend on $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\tau_n\}$, respectively. When $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$ and $\tau_n = \tilde{\tau}_n$ for all n, $\Delta(\lambda) \equiv \tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_1(\tau) \equiv \tilde{\Delta}_1(\tau)$. Hence, $\alpha_1'\psi_1(a,\tau) - \alpha_2'\psi_2(a,\tau) = \tilde{\alpha}_1'\tilde{\psi}_1(a,\tau) - \tilde{\alpha}_2'\tilde{\psi}_2(a,\tau)$. As a result, we get $M(\lambda) = \tilde{M}(\lambda)$ by (21). So, the proof is completed by Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

[1] Levitan B. M. and Sargsyan I. S., Sturm-Liouville and Dirac Operators [in Russian], Nauka (Moscow,1988).

[2] Berezanskii Yu. M., "Uniqueness theorem in the inverse spectral problem for the Schrödinger equation", Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obshch., 7, 3-51 (1958).

[3] Gasymov M. G. and Dzhabiev T. T., Determination of a system of Dirac differential equations using two spectra, in Proceedings of School-Seminar on the Spectral Theory of Operators and Representations of Group Theory [in Russian] (Elm, Baku, 1975), pp. 46-71.

[4] Marchenko V. A., Sturm-Liouville Operators and Their Applications [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1977).

[5] Nizhnik L. P., Inverse Scattering Problems for Hyperbolic Equations [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1977).

[6] Gasymov M. G., Inverse problem of the scattering theory for Dirac system of order 2n, Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obshch., 19 (1968), 41-112; Birkhauser (Basel, 1997).

[7] Guseinov I. M., On the representation of Jost solutions of a system of Dirac differential equations with discontinuous coefficients, Izv. Akad. Nauk Azerb. SSR, 5 (1999), 41-45.

[8] Fulton C. T., Two-point boundary value problems with eigenvalue parameter contained in the boundary conditions, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edin. 77A, pp. 293-308, 1977.

[9] Shkalikov A. A., Boundary Value Problems For Ordinary Differential Equations with a Parameter in Boundary Conditions Trudy Sem. Imeny I. G. Petrovskogo, 9, pp. 190-229, 1983.

[10] Yakubov S, Completeness of Root Functions of Regular Differential Operators, Logman, Scientific and Technical, New York, 1994.

[11] Kerimov NB, Memedov KhK, On a boundary value problem with a spectral parameter in the boundary conditions, Sibir. Matem. Zhurnal., 40 (2), pp. 325-335, 1999 English translation: Siberian Mathematical Journal , 40 (2), pp. 281-290, 1999.

[12] Binding P. A., Browne P. J. and Watson B. A., Sturm-Liouville problems with boundary conditions rationally dependent on the eigenparameter II. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 148, pp. 147-169, 2002.

[13] Mukhtarov OSh, Kadakal M, Muhtarov FS. On discontinuous Sturm-Liouville problem with transmission conditions, Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University, 444, pp. 779-798, 2004.

[14] Tunç E, Muhtarov OSh. Fundamental solution and eigenvalues of one boundary value problem with transmission conditions, Applied Mathematics and Computation , 157, pp. 347-355, 2004.

[15] Akdoğan Z, Demirci M, Mukhtarov OSh, Sturm-Liouville problems with eigendependent boundary and transmissions conditions, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 25B (4) pp. 731-740, 2005.

[16] Akdoğan Z, Demirci M, Mukhtarov OSh, Discontinuous Sturm-Liouville problem with eigenparameter-dependent boundary and transmission conditions, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, 86 pp. 329-344, 2005.

[17] Fulton C. T.,Singular eigenvalue problems with eigenvalue parameter contained in the boundary conditions, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A. 87, pp. 1-34, 1980.

[18] Amirov R. Kh., Ozkan A. S. and Keskin B., Inverse problems for impulsive Sturm-Liouville operator with spectral parameter linearly contained in boundary conditions, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 20 (2009), 607-618.

[19] Guliyev N. J., Inverse eigenvalue problems for Sturm-Liouville equations with spectral parameter linearly contained in one of the boundary conditions, Inverse Problems, 21 (2005), 1315-1330.

[20] Mukhtarov O. Sh., Discontinuous boundary value problem with spectral parameter in boundary conditions, Turkish J. Math., 18 (1994), 183-192.

[21] Russakovskii E. M., Operator treatment of boundary problems with spectral parameters entering via polynomials in the boundary conditions, Funct. Analy. Appl. 9, pp. 358-359, 1975.

[22] Binding P. A., Browne P. J. and Seddighi K., Sturm-Liouville problems with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., (2), 37 (1993), 57-72.

[23] Russakovskii E. M., Matrix boundary value problems with eigenvalue dependent boundary conditions, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. (1995).

[24] Mennicken R., Schmid H. and Shkalikov A. A., On the eigenvalue accumulation of Sturm-Liouville problems depending nonlinearly on the spectral parameter, Math. Nachr., 189 (1998), 157-170.

[25] Binding P. A., Browne P. J. and Watson B. A., Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville equations with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions, J. London Math. Soc., 62 (2000), 161-182.

[26] Schmid H. and Tretter C., Singular Dirac systems and Sturm-Liouville problems nonlinear in the spectral parameter, J. Differen. Equations 181 (2) pp. 511-542, 2002.

[27] Binding P. A., Browne P. J. and Watson B. A., Equivalence of inverse Sturm-Liouville problems with boundary conditions rationally dependent on the eigenparameter, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 29 (2004), 246-261.

[28] Hald O. H., Discontinuous inverse eigenvalue problems, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 37, 539-577 (1984).

[29] Kobayashi, M., A uniqueness proof for discontinuous inverse Sturm-Liouville problems with symmetric potentials, Inverse Problem, 5, No. 5, 767-781 (1989).

[30] Shepelsky D., The inverse problem of reconstruction of the medium's conductivity in a class of discontinuous and increasing functions, Spectral Oper. Theory Rel. Topics: Adv. Sov. Math., 19, 209-232 (1994).

[31] Amirov R. Kh., Güldü Y., İnverse Problems For Dirac Operator With Discontinuity Conditions Inside An Interval, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 37, No. 2, 2007, 215-226.

[32] Likov A. V. and Mikhailov Yu. A., The Theory of Heat and Mass Transfer Qosenergaizdat, 1963 (Russian).

[33] Meschanov V.P. and Feldstein A. L., Automatic Design of Directional Couplers, Sviaz, Moscow, 1980.

[34] Tikhonov A. N. and Samarskii A. A., Equations of Mathematical Physics, Pergamon, Oxford, 1990.

[35] McLaughlin J. and Polyakov P., On the uniqueness of a spherical symmetric speed of sound from transmission eigenvalues, J. Differ. Eqns 107 (1994), pp. 351-382.

[36] Voitovich N. N., Katsenelbaum B. Z. and Sivov A. N., Generalized Method of Eigen-vibration in the Theory of Diffraction, Nauka, Moskov, 1997 (in Russian).

[37] Titeux I, Yakubov Ya., Completeness of root functions for thermal conduction in a strip with peicewise continuous coefficients, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences 1997; 7 1035-1050.

[38] Yurko V. A., Integral transforms connected with discontinuous boundary value problems, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 10 (2000), pp. 141-164.

[39] Freiling G. and Yurko V. A., Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems and Their Applications, Nova Science, New York, 2001.

[40] Kadakal M., Mukhtarov O. Sh., Sturm-Liouville problems with discontinuities at two points, Comput. Math. Appl. 54 (2007) 1367-1379.

[41] Yang Qiuxia and Wang Wanyi , Asymptotic behavior of a differential operator with discontinuities at two points, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 34 pp. 373-383, 2011.

[42] Shahriari Mohammad , Akbarfam Aliasghar Jodayree , Teschl Gerald , Uniqueness for inverse Sturm-Liouville problems with a finite number of transmission conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 395 (2012) 19-29.

[43] Güldü Y., Inverse eigenvalue problems for a discontinuous Sturm-Liouville operator with two discontinuities, Boundary Value Problems 2013, 2013:209.

[44] Yang Chuan-Fu , Uniqueness theorems for differential pencils with eigenparameter boundary conditions and transmission conditions, J. Differential Equations 255 (2013) 2615–2635.

[45] Zhdanovich V. F., Formulae for the zeros of Dirichlet polynomials and quasi-polynomials, Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR 135 (8) (1960), pp. 1046-1049.

[46] Titchmarsh E. C., The Theory of Functions, Oxford University Press, London (1939).

(Yalçın GÜLDÜ) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Cumhuriyet University, 58140 Sivas, Turkey

E-mail address: yguldu@gmail.com